

**Participant feedback questionnaire: face-to-face course – short version**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Course title: |  |
| Course date: | Dd/mm/yyyy |
| Course provider: |  |
| Course venue: |  |
| 1:strongly disagree; 2:disagree; 3:neutral; 4:agree; 5:strongly agree; n/a not applicable |
| 1. Participants’ suitability for the course was clearly defined. [Q4]. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 2. The course administration was effective: Pre-course (e.g. joining instructions, programme, directions to centre) [Q5a] | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 3. The pre-course learning materials/activities were helpful preparation for the course. [Q7] | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 4. The learning outcomes of the course were clearly defined. [Q8] | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 5. The course was held in an environment which was suitable for achieving the course learning outcomes. [Q10] | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 6. The delivery methods were appropriate for achieving the learning outcomes. [Q11] | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 7. The structure of the course was appropriate for achieving the learning outcomes. [Q12] | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 8. The number of faculty was sufficient to support achievement of the learning outcomes. [Q16] | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 9. The faculty demonstrated the appropriate level of knowledge and skills to effectively deliver the learning outcomes. [Q17] | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | n/a |
| 10. If there were any areas of the course that you thought were particularly strong or weak, or that were not covered in the questions, please record your comments below. [Q30]Free text: |
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