

The following summary was submitted to the British Society of Paediatric Dentistry and not for the Tri-Collegiate Membership in Paediatric Dentistry, however we would expect the Clinical Governance Project to be in a similar format and therefore candidates should find the following abstract a useful example.


Antibiotic prescribing: a multi-centre audit



Candidate Number 4

Antibiotics in dentistry

- Important role in paediatric dentistry
- Key issues:
 - Antibacterial resistance
 - Appropriate use
 - Accurate prescriptions



Guidelines

- American Academy of Paediatric Dentistry



- Appropriate


- ✓ Acute facial swelling of dental origin
- ✓ Dental trauma
- ✓ Oral wounds contaminated with extrinsic bacteria
- ✓ Paediatric periodontal disease

- Inappropriate

- x Pulpitis
- x Apical periodontitis
- x Draining sinus tract
- x Localised intra-oral swelling in absence of systemic signs of infection


Guidelines

Prescription accuracy



- Date
- Patient's name
- Address
- Date of birth
- Age (if under 12)
- Drug name
- Dose
- Frequency
- Duration
- Quantity
- Prescriber's signature
- Contact details

Sugar-free elixirs



- Delivering Better Oral Health: An evidence-based toolkit for prevention
- Children at high caries risk should receive sugar-free medicines where possible

Aim

- To compare the prescribing practices in the paediatric departments of City1, City 2 and City3 dental hospitals

Objectives

- To examine if antibiotic therapy is used appropriately
- To assess prescription accuracy
- To determine if sugar-free elixirs are prescribed

Standards

- ✓ 100% of prescriptions should be in accordance with American Academy of Paediatric Dentistry guidelines
- ✓ 100% of prescriptions should be completed accurately in accordance with British National Formulary guidelines
- ✓ 100% of prescriptions for oral suspensions should stipulate 'sugar-free'

Method

- Registered with Clinical Effectiveness Unit
- Retrospective case-note evaluation
- Pilot study in February 09
- Data collection sheet
 - Reason for prescription
 - Antibiotic regime
 - Correct details on prescription
- 90 patients, 30 consecutive patients per centre
- Data analysed using Microsoft Excel 2007



Results

- Cycle 1 data collected March - October 2009
- Total of 89 patients

Key results:

- 75% of patients were prescribed Amoxicillin
- 33% of antibiotics were appropriately prescribed
- 51% of prescriptions were accurately completed
- 53% of prescriptions requested sugar free

Action plan


- Results were disseminated at local clinical governance and regional audit meetings
- Inclusion of AAPD, FGDP(UK) clinical guidelines and BNF recommendations in departmental induction material
- Aide memoire produced and attached to prescription pad in City 1 hospital
- Re-audit

Appropriate use


	Cycle 1 (N=89)	Cycle 2 (N=90)
Diffuse facial swelling	N=15 (17%)	N=25 (28%)
Pyrexia and local swelling	N=6 (7%)	N=5 (6%)
Open wound management	N=5 (5%)	N=15 (17%)
Following surgical intervention	N=4 (4%)	N=4 (4%)
Total	N=30 (33%)	N=47 (52%)



Appropriate use by centre




Inappropriate use



Prescription accuracy

- 46% (N=41) in cycle 2 compared with 51% in cycle 1



Results summary

- ↑ Prescription appropriateness from 33%-52%
- ↓ Prescription accuracy from 51%-46%
- ↑ Prescription of sugar free medicines from 53%-67%



Discussion

Chate et al., 2006

- Found with education and training:
- Appropriate prescribing increased from 29% to 49%
- Prescribing accuracy increased from 57% to 78%
- Room for further improvement
- Most common inappropriate reason for prescribing antibiotics was local swelling without systemic involvement
- Errors in prescription writing occur frequently

Conclusions

- Audit highlighted prescribing deficiencies in the 3 departments
- Audit findings comparable with previous studies
- Overall improvement in number of appropriate prescriptions and sugar free prescriptions
- Prescription accuracy continues to be a problem
- Planned 3rd Audit cycle

References

1. Standing Medical Advisory Committee. Sub-group on antimicrobial resistance. The path of least resistance (synopsis) pp1-66. London: Dept. of Health 1998.
2. Faculty of General Dental Practitioners (UK) Good Practice Guidelines. Adult antimicrobial prescribing. London, 2000.
3. Dailey YM, Martin MV. Are antibiotics being used appropriately for emergency treatment *Br Dent J* 2001; 191: 391-393.
4. Fedorowicz Z, Keenan JV, Farman AG, Newton T. Antibiotic use for irreversible pulpitis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2005, Issue 2.
5. Chate RAC, White S, Hale L, et al. The impact of clinical audit on antibiotic prescribing in general practice. *Br Dent J* 2006; 201: 635-641.
6. American Academy of Paediatric Dentistry. Guidelines on the appropriate use of antibiotic therapy for Paediatric Dental Patients. 2009 v31/6 09/10.
<http://www.aapd.org/media/policies.asp>
7. British Medical association. British National Formulary. Accessed on 5th July 2011. <http://www.bnf.org/bnf/current/29420.htm>
8. Dept. of Health/British Association for the Study of Community Dentistry. Delivering Better Oral Health. An evidence-based toolkit for prevention. 2nd edition. London: Dept. of Health 2009.



Thank you
