

National Survey of Dental Specialty Training 2016

Summary of Results

Introduction

The Joint Committee for Postgraduate Training in Dentistry (JCPTD) agreed to conduct an annual on-line survey of all trainees in the dental specialties holding an NTN. It consists of generic questions about training such as supervision and the training environment and specialty-specific questions which relate to the curriculum. There is a question on patient safety at the end of the survey. This is already part of the GMC survey for medical trainees and it was thought that it would be appropriate to include a similar question for dentistry.

JCPTD piloted the survey in August 2011 in one deanery (London) and one specialty (Orthodontics). The results were not published, as it was a pilot, but an overview report was provided to COPDEND, JCPTD and the London Deanery. The responses were also used to modify the survey. For example, the order of some questions was changed.

In 2012 all specialty trainees were invited to take part and the response rate was 71.83%. The survey was not conducted in 2013 as it was felt that it would be better to run it earlier in the year so the next national survey was launched in April 2014 and ran until July. The response rate was 77%. In 2015 the survey ran from early September to the end of October and the response rate was 62.8%. In 2016 the survey ran from 12th August to 30th September and the response rate was 68%. The JCPTD is grateful to all those trainees who took part.

The results presented in this paper are totals for all trainees in all deaneries who responded to the survey. As there are very small numbers of trainees in some dental specialties, there have been concerns about confidentiality while designing the survey. However, the intention is to publish only the total data as set out in this paper and to report results either by deanery (combining all specialties) or by specialty (combining deaneries) to COPDEND, JCPTD and SACs. This arrangement should keep the number of responses in each reporting category high enough for individuals not to be identifiable. The survey itself was conducted by the Quality Assurance Team at The Royal College of Surgeons of England so individual responses were not seen by those directly involved with training. Some questions were particularly sensitive but individual responses will be kept confidential within the survey team unless the trainee indicated that they wished action to be taken.

It is hoped that the views collated by deanery and by specialty will enable the JCPTD and Postgraduate Dental Deans to identify any problems with training placements and identify areas of good practice. As it will be a national survey it will enable deaneries to compare the responses for their deanery with the average response and will be an important part of the quality assurance processes for dental training. A national survey may also reveal infrequent but important issues.

Results

It should be stressed that this is an opinion survey. It is trainee's evaluation of their training experience.

Ethnicity	Male	Female	Total
White British	47	79	126
	37.3%	62.7%	46.3%
White Irish	12	19	31
	38.7%	61.3%	11.4%
Other White background	6	13	19
	31.6%	68.4%	7.0%
Mixed: White and Black Caribbean	1	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Mixed: White and Black African	0	1	1
	0.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Mixed: White and Asian	0	2	2
	0.0%	100.0%	0.7%
Mixed: Other Mixed background	3	3	6
	50.0%	50.0%	2.2%
Indian	17	27	44
	38.6%	61.4%	16.2%
Pakistani	6	2	8
	75.0%	25.0%	2.9%
Bangladeshi	0	2	2
	0.0%	100.0%	0.7%
Chinese	0	6	6
	0.0%	100.0%	2.2%
Other Asian background	0	1	1
	0.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Black Caribbean	0	2	2
	0.0%	100.0%	0.7%
Black African	0	1	1
	0.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Arab	5	5	10
	50.0%	50.0%	3.7%
Other	5	7	12
	41.7%	58.3%	4.4%
Total	102	170	272
	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%

Which Deanery is responsible for your training?	Number responding	% of responses	% of trainees invited
East of England	2	0.7%	28.6%
KSS	3	1.1%	Included in London
London (HENWL, HENECL, HESL)	68	25.0%	67.0%
North West	33	12.1%	63.5%
Northern	18	6.6%	60.0%
Northern Ireland	6	2.2%	75.0%
Oxford & Wessex	6	2.2%	54.5%
Scotland	37	13.6%	90.2%
South West	15	5.5%	55.6%
East Midlands	7	2.6%	*116.7%
Wales	14	5.1%	70.0%
West Midlands	29	10.7%	85.3%
Yorkshire & Humber	34	12.5%	57.6%
Total	272	100.0%	67.8%

* Six trainees were invited and seven responded. It is possible that a trainee entered the wrong deanery/HEE or one was appointed in East Midlands after the invitations to participate were sent out.

How is your training experience funded?		
Fully Self-funded	47	17.3%
Fully Deanery LETB-funded	114	41.9%
Fully employing body funding	39	14.3%
Shared Deanery/LETB Employing body funded (e.g trust)	49	18.0%
Sponsored	2	0.7%
Other (please specify):	21	7.7%
Total	272	100.0%

Training Type

Please indicate whether you are an:		
SpR	14	5.1%
StR	200	73.5%
FTTA	5	1.8%
Post CCST	37	13.6%
Other (please specify):	16	5.9%
Total	272	100.0%

Please indicate your current year of training:		
1	54	19.9%
2	78	28.7%
3	75	27.6%
4	26	9.6%
5	30	11.0%
Other (please specify):	9	3.3%
Total	272	100.0%

What is the specialty of the placement you are evaluating?	Number responding	% of responses	% of trainees invited
Dental and Maxillofacial Radiology	6	2.2%	100.0%
Dental Public Health	12	4.4%	66.7%
Endodontics	4	1.5%	100.0%
Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology	9	3.3%	69.2%
Oral Medicine	12	4.4%	85.7%
Oral Microbiology	0	0.0%	*
Oral Surgery	31	11.4%	70.5%
Orthodontics	99	36.4%	63.1%
Paediatric Dentistry	35	12.9%	72.9%
Periodontics	2	0.7%	100.0%
Prosthodontics	0	0.0%	**
Restorative Dentistry	41	15.1%	64.1%
Special Care Dentistry	21	7.7%	67.7%
Total	272	100.0%	67.8%

* There are currently no trainees in Oral Microbiology

** No trainees in Prosthodontics were invited to join the survey (and only a small number of the trainees in Endodontics and Periodontics were invited as they were not included in the list from London).

About the Deanery/LETB

How do you rate the effectiveness of:	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total
The Deanery/LETB	2 0.7%	6 2.2%	71 26.1%	139 51.1%	54 19.9%	272 100.0%
The Training Programme Director	1 0.4%	6 2.2%	45 16.5%	94 34.6%	126 46.3%	272 100.0%

Trainers/Educational Supervisors

	Yes	No	Total
Do you have a designated educational supervisor?	272	0	272
	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

How do you rate:	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total
the quality of teaching you receive?	0	12	30	138	92	272
	0.0%	4.4%	11.0%	50.7%	33.8%	100.0%
the quality of supervision you receive?	0	5	30	126	111	272
	0.0%	1.8%	11.0%	46.3%	40.8%	100.0%
the ease of access to your trainers?	1	6	23	102	140	272
	0.4%	2.2%	8.5%	37.5%	51.5%	100.0%
the level of support you receive from your trainers?	2	4	22	109	135	272
	0.7%	1.5%	8.1%	40.1%	49.6%	100.0%
your trainers overall?	0	3	15	125	129	272
	0.0%	1.1%	5.5%	46.0%	47.4%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Have you been supervised/trained by someone who has lacked the necessary competencies?	9	263	272
	3.3%	96.7%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Have you raised these concerns?	5	4	9
	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
Have these concerns been addressed to your satisfaction?	3	2	5
	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%

	Postgraduate Dean	TPD	SAC	Other (please specify)	No thank you	Total
Do you wish for your concerns to be raised in confidence with your:	0	0	0	2	0	2

The two comments did not suggest an “other” but stated that they had raised the matter with the deanery already.

	No	Yes (One trainer)	Yes (More than one trainer)	Total
Do any of your trainers give you cause for concern?	255	14	3	272
	93.8%	5.1%	1.1%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Have you raised these concerns?	11	6	17
Have these concerns been addressed to your satisfaction?	4	7	11

	Postgraduate Dean	TPD	SAC	Other (please specify):	No thank you	Total
Do you wish for your concerns to be raised in confidence with your:	0	0	0	3	4	7

The three comments did not suggest an “other” but said the issues were well known and they did not expect that further discussion would change anything.

Career Plan

	No	Yes (One trainer)	Yes (More than one trainer)	Total
Have you been able to discuss your career plans with one or more of your trainers?	22	50	200	272
	8.1%	18.4%	73.5%	100.0%

Recommendation?

	Yes	No	Total
Would you recommend your post to a friend?	241	31	272
	88.6%	11.4%	100.0%

Appraisal and Assessment

Do you have:	Yes	No	Total
Quality time with your educational supervisor to talk about your programmes?	250	22	272
	91.9%	8.1%	100.0%
Formal meetings with your educational supervisor to talk about your progress?	261	11	272
	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Formal assessment of your performance in the workplace?	265	7	272
	97.4%	2.6%	100.0%

Have you completed the following assessments?	Yes	No	Total
Case-Based Discussions (CBD)	266	6	272
	97.8%	2.2%	100.0%
Problem-Based Assessment (PBA)	91	181	272
	33.5%	66.5%	100.0%
Clinical Evaluation Exercise (CEX)	240	32	272
	88.2%	11.8%	100.0%
Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOPS)	266	6	272
	97.8%	2.2%	100.0%

Did you find them a valuable learning experience?	Yes	No	N/A	Total
Case-Based Discussions (CBD)	246	19	7	272
	90.4%	7.0%	2.6%	100.0%
Problem-Based Assessment (PBA)	80	22	170	272
	29.4%	8.1%	62.5%	100.0%
Clinical Evaluation Exercise (CEX)	191	49	32	272
	70.2%	18.0%	11.8%	100.0%
Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOPS)	225	46	1	272
	82.7%	16.9%	0.4%	100.0%

Bullying or Discrimination

Do you feel that you have been subjected to any form of:	Yes	No	Total
Discrimination?	7	265	272
	2.6%	97.4%	100.0%
Bullying or Intimidation?	20	252	272
	7.4%	92.6%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Have you raised these concerns?	9	12	21
	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
Have these concerns been addressed to your satisfaction?	4	5	9
	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%

	Postgraduate Dean	TPD	Other (please specify e.g. SAC Chair):	No thank you	Total
Do you wish this to be raised on every occasions it arises to your:	0	0	1	4	5
	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%

Bases

	One base	Two bases	Three bases	Total
Do you work in:	131	127	14	272
	48.2%	46.7%	5.1%	100%

	50:50	60:40	70:30	80:20	90:10	Other (please specify)	Total
What is the split in sessions between your bases:	29	36	15	40	13	8	141
	20.6%	25.5%	10.6%	28.4%	9.2%	5.7%	100.0%

Other
66:33
55:35:10
1:2:2
40:40:20
2:3:2
5 Bases: split unequally
40:20:20:20
80:15:5

Main Base

How would you rate the following aspects of your training:	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total
The availability and selection of patients for the purpose of your training (for DPH read "availability and selection of projects")	0	6	25	136	105	272
	0.0%	2.2%	9.2%	50.0%	38.6%	100.0%
The practical experience that you receive in your post	0	2	15	125	130	272
	0.0%	0.7%	5.5%	46.0%	47.8%	100.0%
The capacity of your post to meet your training needs	0	4	22	135	111	272
	0.0%	1.5%	8.1%	49.6%	40.8%	100.0%
The educational facilities overall	0	7	26	145	94	272
	0.0%	2.6%	9.6%	53.3%	34.6%	100.0%
The access to relevant educational resources, including journals and books	0	5	31	111	125	272
	0.0%	1.8%	11.4%	40.8%	46.0%	100.0%
Your access to the internet in your place of work	3	9	25	99	136	272
	1.1%	3.3%	9.2%	36.4%	50.0%	100.0%

	Mostly service	More service than training	About equal	More training than service	Mostly training	Total
Please indicate the balance between service and training in your clinical activities? (for DPH read "professional activities")	18	41	129	66	18	272
	6.6%	15.1%	47.4%	24.3%	6.6%	100.0%

	Always	Often	Sometimes	Occasionally	Never	Total
How often are you left to cope with problems beyond your competence?	0	3	18	81	170	272
	0.0%	1.1%	6.6%	29.8%	62.5%	100.0%

	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total
How do you rate the environment in which you receive your training?	2	9	31	119	111	272
	0.7%	3.3%	11.4%	43.8%	40.8%	100.0%

	No	Yes (One)	Yes (More than one)	Total
Do any aspects of the educational facilities give you cause for concern?	255	13	4	272
	93.8%	4.8%	1.5%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Have you raised these concerns?	15	2	17
	88.2%	11.8%	100.0%
Have these concerns been addressed to your satisfaction?	3	12	15
	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%

	Postgraduate Dean	TPD	Other (please specify e.g. SAC Chair):	No thank you	Total
Do you wish this to be raised on every occasions it arises to your:	1	2	2	7	12
	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	58.3%	100.0%

Comment
Already raised them with the dean and associate dean
They have been brought up with the CD and SAC chair

Second Base

How would you rate the following aspects of your training:	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total
The availability and selection of patients for the purpose of your training (for DPH read "availability and selection of projects")	0	0	10	78	53	141
	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	55.3%	37.6%	100.0%
The practical experience that you receive in your post	0	0	5	70	66	141
	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	49.6%	46.8%	100.0%
The capacity of your post to meet your training needs	0	1	9	74	57	141
	0.0%	0.7%	6.4%	52.5%	40.4%	100.0%
The educational facilities overall	0	4	17	73	47	141
	0.0%	2.8%	12.1%	51.8%	33.3%	100.0%
The access to relevant educational resources, including journals and books	0	6	21	56	58	141
	0.0%	4.3%	14.9%	39.7%	41.1%	100.0%
Your access to the internet in your place of work	1	4	15	63	58	141
	0.7%	2.8%	10.6%	44.7%	41.1%	100.0%

	Mostly service	More service than training	About equal	More training than service	Mostly training	Total
Please indicate the balance between service and training in your clinical activities? (for DPH read "professional activities")	4	19	69	32	17	141
	2.8%	13.5%	48.9%	22.7%	12.1%	100.0%

	Always	Often	Sometimes	Occasionally	Never	Total
How often are you left to cope with problems beyond your competence?	0	0	6	43	92	141
	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	30.5%	65.2%	100.0%

	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total
How do you rate the environment in which you receive your training?	0	1	13	70	57	141
	0.0%	0.7%	9.2%	49.6%	40.4%	100.0%

	No	Yes (One)	Yes (More than one)	Total
Do any aspects of the educational facilities give you cause for concern?	137	3	1	141
	97.2%	2.1%	0.7%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Have you raised these concerns?	0	4	4
	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Have these concerns been addressed to your satisfaction?	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

	Postgraduate Dean	TPD	Other (please specify e.g. SAC Chair):	No thank you	Total
Do you wish this to be raised on every occasions it arises to your:	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Third Base

How would you rate the following aspects of your training:	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total
The availability and selection of patients for the purpose of your training (for DPH read "availability and selection of projects")	0	0	4	4	6	14
	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	100.0%
The practical experience that you receive in your post	0	0	1	8	5	14
	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	57.1%	35.7%	100.0%
The capacity of your post to meet your training needs	0	0	2	6	6	14
	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	100.0%
The educational facilities overall	0	0	2	7	5	14
	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	50.0%	35.7%	100.0%
The access to relevant educational resources, including journals and books	0	1	5	3	5	14
	0.0%	7.1%	35.7%	21.4%	35.7%	100.0%
Your access to the internet in your place of work	0	0	4	3	7	14
	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	21.4%	50.0%	100.0%

	Mostly service	More service than training	About equal	More training than service	Mostly training	Total
Please indicate the balance between service and training in your clinical activities? (for DPH read "professional activities")	2	1	6	5	0	14
	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	0.0%	100.0%

	Always	Often	Sometimes	Occasionally	Never	Total
How often are you left to cope with problems beyond your competence?	0	0	0	3	11	14
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.4%	78.6%	100.0%

	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good	Total
How do you rate the environment in which you receive your training?	1	0	3	4	6	14
	7.1%	0.0%	21.4%	28.6%	42.9%	100.0%

	No	Yes (One)	Yes (More than one)	Total
Do any aspects of the educational facilities give you cause for concern?	14	0	0	14
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Have you raised these concerns?	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Have these concerns been addressed to your satisfaction?	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

	Postgraduate Dean	TPD	Other (please specify e.g. SAC Chair):	No thank you	Total
Do you wish this to be raised on every occasions it arises to your:	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Comments about the main base and other units where trainees receive their training

The great majority of comments were very positive about the training programme, the environment and helpful and supportive trainers and colleagues. Where concerns were expressed they were often quite specific. However, there were some common themes such as issues with equipment and general NHS pressures and concern that sometimes training time got lost to service obligations. This made it challenging to organise Workplace Based Assessments for example, when trainers were busy. A few trainees commented on poor behaviour or poor team-working among colleagues but the number was low. Several trainee commented on poor IT and a lack of office space and a few felt that they had insufficient organised teaching sessions.

Comments about the experience gained where training in other units fell outside the main deanery's boundaries (cross-deanery experience)

The vast majority of trainees commenting said they had no cross-deanery experience. Of those who had arranged visits or experienced a Masters programme outside their main base, most had found it a very helpful experience. The opportunity to obtain specific experience, work in the community or simply work with different consultants thus widening their viewpoint was much appreciated. One or two trainees had found it difficult to organise experience in another unit and one thought the unit to which they were seconded had not had trainees before and was initially slow to select appropriate patients but this improved over time.

Curriculum

	very unfamiliar	Unfamiliar	Neutral	Familiar	Very Familiar	Total
How familiar are you with the curriculum that applies to your specialty?	2	0	17	153	100	272
	0.7%	0.0%	6.3%	56.3%	36.8%	100.0%

Comments

Some trainees felt that their curriculum was too broad/large for the training time available. A suggestion that the curriculum was too vague and descriptive was contradicted by a suggestion that the curriculum was very detailed and could be streamlined. There was a suggestion that the curriculum linked to activities on ISCP had helped trainees to familiarise themselves with it while it was also suggested that ISCP was unhelpful and on occasion out of date. Several trainees expressed concern that their curriculum covered experiences that not all centres had access to. Comments on the curriculum are likely to be more meaningful when split into specialty reports as they do not all apply to the same curriculum.

	Very poorly	Poorly	Neutral	Well	Very well	Total
How well do you feel your specialty training matches the set curriculum?	1	9	40	166	56	272
	0.4%	3.3%	14.7%	61.0%	20.6%	100.0%

	Not at all Confident	2	3	4	Very Confident	Total
How confident are you that you will be fully trained and competent upon completion of your specialty training?	1	5	27	132	107	272
	0.4%	1.8%	9.9%	48.5%	39.3%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Do you feel that your curriculum has any areas that need improving?	89	183	272
	32.7%	67.3%	100.0%

Comments

A number of comments were clearly relevant to one specialty in particular e.g. comments on medical competencies for singly qualified trainees or comments about access to specific procedures. These comments will be most useful when divided into specialty reports. However, there were one or two themes. For example a number of comments suggesting more clarity in relation to the competencies expected at various stages of training would be helpful to allow trainees to monitor their own progress and tailor their case-mix to an accepted benchmark. Specific guidance on the numbers expected would help to guide training. Several trainees found a lot of competencies to be very broad and thought that it would be easier to align specific tasks within a project to them if they were more specific.

There were several comments which related to the delivery of the curriculum, rather than the contents. For example, suggestions that more regular/structured didactic teaching from consultants would be helpful. Also, several trainees thought that they needed more management training, more allocated time with consultants for WBAs and more funded critical appraisal courses. There were also comments about timetabling, matching the curriculum and exit exam and the value of ISCP for dental trainees.

	Yes	No	Total
Do you feel that your training programme has any areas that need improving?	114	158	272
	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%

Comments

A number of comments were specific to a specialty or a location. However, a number of comments were made by trainees and repeated in similar comments from others. For example:

- A more structured academic pathway is needed/improvements needed in the MSc side of the programme
- More, regular didactic teaching from consultants/study days/consultant supervision needed
- More opportunities to attend other units to broaden experience e.g. primary care placements, outreach clinics or specialist units.
- More information on working in specialist practice and on the NHS generally
- Improved information on examinations
- Equal training opportunities across the deanery (not big differences in opportunities between individual posts)
- Appropriate nursing support for clinical work
- Provision of office/desk space for administrative work
- Appropriate allocation of cases to meet training needs for different levels of trainee/better access to some training groups
- Better balance of treatment sessions between training and service
- Clarification of the pathways for singly qualified trainees, particularly in relation to medical training within the five-year pathway.

Do you feel that there are gaps in your training – clinical or otherwise?

The largest number of comments indicated that there were no gaps in training and a substantial number of trainees said that they expected any gaps to be filled during their training. The following subject areas were mentioned by a number of trainees:

- Management and NHS structures/organisation
- Orthodontic appliances e.g. tip edge, lingual Orthodontic experience Invisiline
- Exposure to cleft, craniofacial, oncology or orthognathic patients

- Oral Medicine
- Implants
- Emergency/trauma
- Sedation
- Critical appraisal teaching
- Greater support with research
- More/better consultant supervision/support

Please add any comments that you have about this survey

The majority of trainees commenting said “no comment” or “N/A”. Of those trainees expressing an opinion, the majority were positive about the survey length, content and usability. A couple of trainees thought the survey was too long and one trainee thought there should be feedback to ensure that concerns are addressed or to inform trainees what measures have been taken following the survey.

Patient Safety

	No	Yes, but they are already being addressed, or have been resolved	Yes, and they have not yet been addressed	Total
In this post, have you had any concerns about patient safety?	267	4	1	272
	98.2%	1.5%	0.4%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Having read the guidance above, do you wish to raise a concern about patient safety?	1	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

	Within the last month	Over a month ago but less than 3 months ago	Over 3 months ago	Total
When did you first become concerned about patient safety in your post?	0	0	1	1
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

If this patient safety concern has been reported, who was it reported to	Yes	No	Total
General Dental Council	0	1	1
My employer	1	0	1
My deanery	1	0	1
Another body	1	0	1
It hasn't been reported (as far as I'm aware)	0	1	1

The Postgraduate Dental Dean was contacted with the trainee's permission and the matter addressed locally.

Specialty-Specific Questions

Dental and Maxillofacial Radiology

How satisfied are you:	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
with the formal teaching/tutorials you receive?	0	0	0	2	4	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
that the curriculum has been covered for your year of training?	0	0	0	2	4	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
with opportunities given to attend conferences relevant to Dental Radiology?	0	0	0	1	5	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%

How satisfied are you with your access to training in the following:							
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A	Total
Physics teaching	0	0	2	0	3	1	6
	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	100.0%
Radiological techniques	0	0	0	1	4	1	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	100.0%
Radiation protection	0	0	1	0	4	1	6
	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	66.7%	16.7%	100.0%
Radiological anatomy	0	0	0	3	3	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Plain film reporting	0	0	0	2	4	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%
CBCT reporting	0	0	0	2	4	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Practical ultrasound	0	0	0	3	3	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
US guided biopsies	0	0	1	2	3	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
US reporting	0	0	0	2	4	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%
CT reporting	0	0	1	2	3	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
MRI reporting	0	0	1	2	3	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Other imaging modalities	0	0	0	3	3	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Dental Public Health

	Yes	No	Total
Do you feel that you have sufficient collaborative training opportunities with public health colleagues?	7	5	12
	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%
Do you feel that you fully understand the assessment processes currently in place in specialty training in DPH?	12	0	12
	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

How many times in a year are you usually or do you expect to be assessed?							
	0	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	20+	Total
DOP	0	7	3	1	1	0	12
	0.0%	58.3%	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	100.0%
MSF	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
OSDPHA	1	10	1	0	0	0	12
	8.3%	83.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
CbD	0	8	4	0	0	0	12
	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
WR	0	10	1	1	0	0	12
	0.0%	83.3%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Are you satisfied with the number of assessments you have in a year?						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
DOP	0	1	1	6	4	12
	0.0%	8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%
MSF	0	1	0	7	4	12
	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	58.3%	33.3%	100.0%
OSDPHA	0	3	2	4	3	12
	0.0%	25.0%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	100.0%
CbD	0	2	4	4	2	12
	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	100.0%
WR	0	1	2	7	2	12
	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	100.0%

Are you satisfied that you understand the assessment grades on the assessment forms?						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
DOP	0	3	1	6	2	12
	0.0%	25.0%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	100.0%
MSF	0	2	1	7	2	12
	0.0%	16.7%	8.3%	58.3%	16.7%	100.0%
OSDPHA	0	4	1	6	1	12
	0.0%	33.3%	8.3%	50.0%	8.3%	100.0%
CbD	0	3	1	6	2	12
	0.0%	25.0%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	100.0%
WR	0	3	1	6	2	12
	0.0%	25.0%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	100.0%

Are you satisfied that you:	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Review your learning needs before accepting a project?	0	2	2	5	3	12
	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%	100.0%
Agree with your trainers an outline of your project (tasks, dates for completion, assessments, competencies addressed, training required) before you begin?	0	0	3	7	2	12
	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	58.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Agree with your trainer what your role in a project will be before you begin?	0	1	4	5	2	12
	0.0%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%	16.7%	100.0%
Receive sufficient support during your project (particularly if you encounter difficulties)?	0	1	0	7	4	12
	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	58.3%	33.3%	100.0%

Endodontics

How satisfied are you:	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
with your experience in integrating your discipline and the other elements of adult dental care?	0	0	0	0	4	4
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
with your training in the use of an operating microscope?	0	0	0	0	4	4
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
your overall case mix	0	0	0	0	4	4
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology

	Yes	No	Total
Are you working as a general histopathology SpR/StR?	2	7	9
	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%

How satisfied are you with your access to training in the following specialities:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Dermatopathology	0	2	1	3	3	9
	0.0%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%
ENT	0	0	2	4	3	9
	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	100.0%
Haematopathology	0	3	0	4	2	9
	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	44.4%	22.2%	100.0%
Non-gynae cytology	0	2	3	2	2	9
	0.0%	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	100.0%
Thyroid	0	1	2	2	4	9
	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	100.0%
Soft Tissue tumours	1	1	1	4	2	9
	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	100.0%
Hard Tissue tumours	0	1	3	3	2	9
	0.0%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	100.0%

How often do you perform the following role at post mortem:					
	None	Once	Two to Five Times	More than Five Times	Total
Observe	4	1	3	1	9
	44.4%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	100.0%
Assist	5	2	1	1	9
	55.6%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	100.0%
Perform	7	0	0	2	9
	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	100.0%

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Are you satisfied with your experience at post mortem?	1	0	1	5	2	9
	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%	100.0%

	Yes	No	Total
Do you present cases at MDMs at least once a fortnight?	5	4	9
	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
Do you intend to seek an academic post when you complete training?	9	0	9
	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Oral Medicine

How satisfied are you with your training and how your professional development is evidenced with respect to:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Core medical skills	0	1	1	4	6	12
	0.0%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	100.0%
Allied clinical specialties*	0	0	3	4	5	12
	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	33.3%	41.7%	100.0%
Focused specialised clinical services**	0	0	2	4	6	12
	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	100.0%
Mucocutaneous disease	0	0	0	2	10	12
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
Salivary gland disease	0	0	0	4	8	12
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Non-odontogenic pain	0	0	0	3	9	12
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Systemic medication	0	0	0	3	9	12
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Potent topical medication	0	0	0	3	9	12
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Placements in other OM units	0	0	4	3	5	12
	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	25.0%	41.7%	100.0%

* such as other dental and medical specialties

** such as multi-disciplinary/shared-care services (e.g. Behçet's vesiculobullous, pain & Sjögren's Syndrome)

Oral Surgery

	None	One	Two to Five	More than Five	Total
How many dedicated teaching sessions do you get each week?	9	10	11	1	31
	29.0%	32.3%	35.5%	3.2%	100.0%

How satisfied are you with:	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
The number of dedicated teaching sessions you get each week?	2	2	7	14	6	31
	6.5%	6.5%	22.6%	45.2%	19.4%	100.0%
Your access to implants in your training?	4	4	11	9	3	31
	12.9%	12.9%	35.5%	29.0%	9.7%	100.0%
The training you are receiving relative to the breadth of the curriculum?	0	2	6	19	4	31
	0.0%	6.5%	19.4%	61.3%	12.9%	100.0%

Regarding your training programme how satisfied are you with your access to the following:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Implantology training	3	5	12	7	4	31
	9.7%	16.1%	38.7%	22.6%	12.9%	100.0%
Oral medicine/mucosal diseases training	1	3	7	13	7	31
	3.2%	9.7%	22.6%	41.9%	22.6%	100.0%
In patient management	2	6	8	14	1	31
	6.5%	19.4%	25.8%	45.2%	3.2%	100.0%
GA dentoalveolar lists	0	2	2	11	16	31
	0.0%	6.5%	6.5%	35.5%	51.6%	100.0%
Maxillofacial trauma management	6	9	8	6	2	31
	19.4%	29.0%	25.8%	19.4%	6.5%	100.0%
Training in primary care oral surgery setting	3	10	10	4	4	31
	9.7%	32.3%	32.3%	12.9%	12.9%	100.0%
Management/commissioning training	5	2	14	9	1	31
	16.1%	6.5%	45.2%	29.0%	3.2%	100.0%

Orthodontics

How satisfied are you with your exposure to the following fixed appliance systems:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Straightwire appliance	1	0	1	34	63	99
	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	34.3%	63.6%	100.0%
Tip Edge appliance	10	21	43	22	3	99
	10.1%	21.2%	43.4%	22.2%	3.0%	100.0%
Self ligating brackets	8	15	28	35	13	99
	8.1%	15.2%	28.3%	35.4%	13.1%	100.0%

Are you satisfied that you have:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
appropriate nursing support?	3	6	9	49	32	99
	3.0%	6.1%	9.1%	49.5%	32.3%	100.0%
appropriate clinical supervision?	0	4	6	48	41	99
	0.0%	4.0%	6.1%	48.5%	41.4%	100.0%

Paediatric Dentistry

How satisfied are you with your training in the multidisciplinary / interdisciplinary team approach for the management of paediatric patients:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
requiring joint orthodontic and paediatric dental care	0	4	5	13	13	35
	0.0%	11.4%	14.3%	37.1%	37.1%	100.0%
with hypodontia	0	5	3	15	12	35
	0.0%	14.3%	8.6%	42.9%	34.3%	100.0%
in oral medicine	3	8	10	7	7	35
	8.6%	22.9%	28.6%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
with cleft lip and palate	2	7	8	11	7	35
	5.7%	20.0%	22.9%	31.4%	20.0%	100.0%
with medical disability, including attendance at medical specialty clinics	0	1	5	18	11	35
	0.0%	2.9%	14.3%	51.4%	31.4%	100.0%

How satisfied are you with your training in the delivery of emergency dental care to paediatric patients who present with:

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
acute dental infection	1	2	3	14	15	35
	2.9%	5.7%	8.6%	40.0%	42.9%	100.0%
dento-alveolar injuries	1	3	3	11	17	35
	2.9%	8.6%	8.6%	31.4%	48.6%	100.0%

How satisfied are you that your training gives you hands-on, 1-to-1 supervised sessions in the general anaesthetic operating room for:

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
the provision of comprehensive dental care, including restorative treatment and exodontia	0	1	1	7	26	35
	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%	20.0%	74.3%	100.0%
the provision of minor oral surgery	2	2	5	9	17	35
	5.7%	5.7%	14.3%	25.7%	48.6%	100.0%

Periodontics

How satisfied are you with:

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
your experience in integrating your discipline and the other elements of adult dental care?	0	0	0	2	0	2
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
your exposure to surgical cases?	0	0	0	2	0	2
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
your training in the planning and placement of dental implants?	0	0	1	1	0	2
	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Restorative Dentistry

How satisfied are you with your:	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Exposure to a multi-disciplinary team environment for the management of patients with head and neck malignancy?	2	2	8	15	14	41
	4.9%	4.9%	19.5%	36.6%	34.1%	100.0%
Exposure to a multi-disciplinary team environment for the management of patients with cleft lip and palate?	4	7	15	13	2	41
	9.8%	17.1%	36.6%	31.7%	4.9%	100.0%
Exposure to a multi-disciplinary team environment for the management of patients with hypodontia?	0	1	4	13	23	41
	0.0%	2.4%	9.8%	31.7%	56.1%	100.0%
Exposure to a multi-disciplinary team environment for the management of patients requiring orthognathic surgery?	4	6	19	10	2	41
	9.8%	14.6%	46.3%	24.4%	4.9%	100.0%
Your opportunities to manage patients under sedation and general anaesthetic?	3	7	18	12	1	41
	7.3%	17.1%	43.9%	29.3%	2.4%	100.0%
Your opportunities planning, placement and restoration of dental implants?	1	0	3	19	18	41
	2.4%	0.0%	7.3%	46.3%	43.9%	100.0%

Special Care Dentistry

How much exposure have you had to the following patient groups requiring special care dentistry:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
People with leaning, physical, sensory or emotional disability?	0	0	0	7	14	21
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
People with mental health issues?	0	1	1	8	11	21
	0.0%	4.8%	4.8%	38.1%	52.4%	100.0%
People housed in secure units?	0	4	4	6	7	21
	0.0%	19.0%	19.0%	28.6%	33.3%	100.0%
People confined to their home?	0	1	5	8	7	21
	0.0%	4.8%	23.8%	38.1%	33.3%	100.0%
The Homeless?	2	7	6	5	1	21
	9.5%	33.3%	28.6%	23.8%	4.8%	100.0%

Are you satisfied with your exposure to the following members of the extended patient care team:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Carers?	0	0	2	9	10	21
	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	42.9%	47.6%	100.0%
Advocates?	0	1	6	7	7	21
	0.0%	4.8%	28.6%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%
Social workers?	0	3	3	9	6	21
	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	100.0%
General medical practitioners?	0	1	3	9	8	21
	0.0%	4.8%	14.3%	42.9%	38.1%	100.0%
General dental practitioners?	0	1	2	9	9	21
	0.0%	4.8%	9.5%	42.9%	42.9%	100.0%
Speech and language therapists?	0	4	1	11	5	21
	0.0%	19.0%	4.8%	52.4%	23.8%	100.0%
Community learning disability team?	0	2	2	9	8	21
	0.0%	9.5%	9.5%	42.9%	38.1%	100.0%
Other health workers?	0	0	3	11	7	21
	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	52.4%	33.3%	100.0%

How satisfied are you with your opportunities to use the following treatment modalities for the delivery of special care dentistry:						
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total
Behavioural techniques?	0	1	0	10	10	21
	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	47.6%	47.6%	100.0%
Inhalational sedation?	0	1	1	11	8	21
	0.0%	4.8%	4.8%	52.4%	38.1%	100.0%
Intravenous sedation?	1	1	3	6	10	21
	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	47.6%	100.0%
General Anaesthesia?	0	0	1	6	14	21
	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	28.6%	66.7%	100.0%
Acupuncture?	0	12	4	3	2	21
	0.0%	57.1%	19.0%	14.3%	9.5%	100.0%