

# ARCP Panel assessment of quality of AES Report

<b>Specialty</b>					
<b>Date</b>					
<b>Panel Chair</b>					
<b>Educational Supervisor</b>					
<b>Improvement required</b>	✓	<b>Acceptable</b>	✓	<b>Excellent</b> (in addition to Acceptable)	✓
The basis for judgements is not clear, ie they are not referenced to the evidence		Judgements are generally referenced to the available evidence		Judgements show sophistication, synthesising evidence from a number of sources	
No comment is made on the current state and the progression of competence		The current state and the progression of competence are made clear		Suggestions for trainee development clarify the learning outcomes to be achieved	
There are no, or few, suggestions for trainee development		Suggestions for trainee development are routinely made and appear to be appropriate		The supervisor comments on the quality and range of the evidence-set in order to improve trainee insight and future data	
<b>Overall assessment</b>	✓	Summary comment (please include evidence supporting your overall assessment) and suggestions for improvement:			
Improvement required					
Acceptable					
Excellent					